

United States Patent and Trademark Office

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FIL | ING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 09/894,918 | 09/894,918 06/29/2001 | | Brian Jacoby | 06975-203001/Security 14 | 06975-203001/Security 14 5947 | | |
| 26171 | 7590 | 11/03/2005 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| FISH & RI | | ON P.C. | | LESNIEWSKI | , VICTOR D | | |
| P.O. BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022 | | | | ART UNIT | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | , | • | | 2152 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 11/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/894,918 | JACOBY ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Victor Lesniewski | 2152 | | |

| zororo uno i ming or anti-ppour zinor | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Victor Lesniewski | 2152 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 17 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo | Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 | | E FIRST REPLY WAS F | ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | dension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi | iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed. | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of th | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, | | | ecause |
| (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) | | i E below); | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or | • • | ducing or simplifying | the issues for |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | corresponding number of finally rej | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | 16 and 41.33(a)). | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment | (PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). | | • | _ |
| 7. For purposes of appeal; the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | Il be entered and an e | explanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: | 44 50 54 55 and 57 | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-14,16,17,19,20,22,23,35,36,38,39,</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | <u>41-52,54,55 and 57</u> . | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S | al and/or appellant fa ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after e | ntry is below or attacl | ned. |
| 11. \square The request for reconsideration has been considered by | ut does NOT place the application in | n condition for allowa | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | Vo(s). | A |
| | PH IN M | RIAPOENOUS | AVANUE - |
| 7/4 | BUNUC | OB IAROENCHON | MANIT |

VZ

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendment to claims 1, 20, and 39 reciting "monitoring at least the payload portion of the data packets directed from at least one of the access providers to at least one of the access requestors" is considered to raise a new issue that requires further consideration and/or search. Thus the amendments to the claims and the arguments relating to the claims as amended will not be addressed at this time.